UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AMERICA Judgment in a Criminal Case
(For Revocation of Probation or Supervised Release)

Jorge Armando Guerrero-Bernal

-

Case Number: 1:14CR01986-001JB USM Number: 66927-180

Defense Attorney: Phillip Sapien, Appointed

THE DEFENI	DANT:			
The defendant	t is adjudicated guilty of these violations:			
Violation Nature of Violation Number Mandatory The defendant committed another federal, st		eral, state, or local crime.	Violation Ended 03/22/2014	
The defendant Reform Act of		1 + 3 = 3 of this judgment. The sent	ence is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing	
☐ The defer	ndant has not violated condition(s) and i	is discharged as to such violation	n(s).	
name, residen	ce, or mailing address until all fines, restit	cution, costs, and special assessr	for this district within 30 days of any change of ments imposed by this judgment are fully paid. It of material changes in economic circumstances	
None		September 12, 201	September 12, 2014	
Last Four Digits of Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.		Date of Imposition	Date of Imposition of Judgment	
1979		/s/ James O. Brow	/s/ James O. Browning	
Defendant's Year of Birth		Signature of Judge	Signature of Judge	
Ciudad Juarez, CU			Honorable James O. Browning United States District Judge	
City and State	e of Defendant's Residence	Name and Title of	Judge	
		November 24, 201	4	
		Date Signed	Date Signed	

Case 1:14-cr-01986-JB Document 15 Filed 11/24/14 Page 2 of 3

AO 245D (Rev. 12/10) Judgment in a Criminal Case for Revocations Sheet 2 Imprisonment

Judgment Page 2 of 3

Defendant: Jorge Armando Guerrero-Bernal

Case Number: 1:14CR01986-001JB

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 21 months.

One month of said term shall run consecutively and 20 months of said term shall run concurrently to the sentence imposed in 2:14CR01603.

A term of supervised release is not reimposed.

Although advisory, the Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines` sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines` punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 21 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public, avoids unwarranted sentencing disparities among similarly situated defendants, effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

\times	The court makes these recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:			
	USC Coleman II, FL, and, for the Defendant not to be incarcerated at FCI Beaumont, TX, if eligible.			
	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at on as notified by the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: before 2 p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office.			
	RETURN			
I have	e executed this judgment as follows:			
Defer	at to with a certified copy of this judgment.			

By

DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL